

ARBITRATION AWARDS - printed Jun 24, 1998

AISI	Case#	Arb.	DEC	Description
				parties; insufficient data.
190.460	22-F-34	285	PG	Partial denial with referral back to parties; insufficient data.
190.460	22-F-27	286	D	Partial denial with referral back to parties; insufficient data. Incentive
190.460	22-F-37	288	REM	Partial denial with refferal back to parties; insufficient data. Incentive
220.211	7-F-29,30, et	289	D	Seniority of senior employees upheld.
80.400	14-F-52,53,58	290	D	Ruling on Award #167 upheld and explained.
220.211	13-F-46	291	G	Filling temporary vacancy does not give standing.
220.11.4	7-F-33;10-F-2	292	D	Employees were not on extended operations. Regression
100.630	Local 2099	293	PG	Employees were entitled to pay for 5th work day.
150.400	16-E-53	294	D	Arbitrator went beyond limit of authority in Award #240. Jurisdiction
190.460	22-F-33	295	D	No inequitability in incentive plan found
190.460	22-F-35	296	D	No inequitability in incentive plan found
130.230	10-F-14	297	D	Original coding was proper.
220.12.0	12-F-67	298	D	No contractual restriction in this case in scheduling. DeDios
220.960	17-F-18	299	D	Insufficient evidence to support union claim-right to work.
220.10.4	17-F-26	300	G	The general rule may not preclude reinstatement. Mrs. Juanita York - Granted
80.420	Local 2732	301	G	Company ordered to pay - Local 2732 - Error in settlement.
180.300	Local 2732	302	D	Dispute involved relief time - Local 2732
220.211	10-F-15	303	G	Grievant Reyes originally entitled to promotion.
220.10.5	12-F-78	304	D	J. Dunn-No conflict in medical testimony from either party.